

II. REMARKS

Before the amendments made herein, claims 127 to 129 were pending. Claim 128 has been canceled herein without prejudice. Accordingly, after this amendment is entered, claims 127 and 129 will be pending.

A. Regarding the specification

The objects to the specification for reciting “sevsral” instead of “several” on page 93. In response, this typo has been corrected herein. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this objection be withdrawn.

B. Regarding written description

The Action rejects claim 128 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly not complying with the written description requirement. While Applicants respectfully disagree with this allegation, to achieve allowance of this case, claim 128 has been canceled herein without prejudice.


C. Regarding written description

The Action rejects claim 128 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly not enabled by the specification. While Applicants respectfully disagree with this allegation, to achieve allowance of this case, claim 128 has been canceled herein without prejudice.

III. CONCLUSION

All of the issues raised in the Office Action have been addressed and are believed to have been overcome. Indeed, the Action indicates that claims 127 and 129 are allowed, and these are the only claims now pending. Therefore Applicants respectfully request a Notice of Allowance to this effect.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin D. Moynihan
Registration Number 40,338

Date: October 25, 2007